UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,565	09/27/2006	Tarja Laitinen	0933-0249PUS1	4520	
	7590 02/03/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	LANDSMAN, ROBERT S			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/03/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/539,565	LAITINEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert Landsman	1647					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. uely filed the mailing date of this α ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ty documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	αιστιτηριισαίστ					

DETAILED ACTION

The Restriction Requirement mailed 1/12/09 has been withdrawn in favor of a new restriction since Applicants' representatives informed the Examiner that a separate set of claims should be the subject of the Restriction Requirement.

1. Lack of Unity

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-17, 24-29, 32 and 33 as drawn to a method of detecting a risk of a pulmonary disease by determining a polymorphism in a GPRA gene and a kit.

Group II, claims 18-23, as drawn to a method of identifying a polymorphic site in a GPRA gene

Group III, claims 18-23, as drawn to a method of identifying a polymorphic site in an AAA1 gene

Group IV, claims 34-39, 24, 25, 30 and 31, as drawn to a method of detecting a risk of a pulmonary disease by determining a polymorphism in an AAA1 gene and a kit.

Group V, claims 40 and 41, drawn to a method of identifying a haploid combination

Application/Control Number: 10/539,565 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

C. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not meet the requirements for Unity of Invention or the following reasons:

Groups I-V are drawn to separate, distinct inventions and are distinguished from each other because the special technical features which define them by chemical and physical characteristics i.e. structure/function, as well as biological functions are different and these special technical features are not shared by each invention. Since these special technical features are not shared by each product and since the common features do not establish an advance over the prior art, the inventions do not form a single inventive concept within the meaning of Rule 13.2

Groups I-V are drawn to methods different in design and performance, and which do not share the same or a corresponding special technical feature which define the contribution of each invention. The methods of Groups I-V do not share a corresponding special technical feature because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires different starting materials, process steps and goals. Since these special technical features are not shared by each process, and since the common features do not establish an advance over the prior art, the inventions do not form a single inventive concept within the meaning of Rule 13.2.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 AM -6:30 PM (eastern).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath Rao can be reached on 571-272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert Landsman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647